

# Effect of *Ocimum basilicum* L. and *Eucalyptus camaledulensis* Dehn. powders on Cowpea weevil ( *Callosobruchus maculatus* F.) in stored cowpea

# Fathelrahman I. Elsiddig\* and Mohamed H. Hamad Elneel

Plant protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Sinnar University, Sudan.

Received: 07 Mars 2015 / Accepted: 30 October 2017

Doi: https://doi.org/10.54172/mjsc.v32i2.182

**Abstract:** A laboratory experiment was carried out during the period June – September 2011 at Abu Naama Faculty of Agriculture - University of Sinnar, Sudan, to study the effect of different powdered preparations of leaves and flowers of Rehan and leaves of Cafure on cowpea weevil (Callosobruchus maculatus Fabric.). The experiment was laid out as a complete randomized design (CRD) with four replicates. Different concentrations of the two tested plants were formulated by weight from 2 kg of cowpea seeds to give the following treatments: Rehan leaves powder 5% and 10%, Rehan flowers powder 5% and 10%, Cafure leaves powder 5%, and 10%, and untreated control. The treatments were added to clean cowpea seeds. Number of eggs, number of adults, and 100 seed weight were recorded every two weeks up to the end of the experiment. Results indicated that Rehan and Cafure powders of the two concentrations 5%, 10% significantly reduced the number of eggs and adults of cowpea weevil Callosobruchus maculatus up to 12 weeks compared to the untreated control. Results also indicated that starting from the 4<sup>th</sup> week up until the 10<sup>th</sup> week; all treatments of 10% concentration were significantly different from the untreated control in term of 100 seed weight. Results concluded that the performance of the tested preparations will still encourage the inclusion of these products in IPM programs with other natural and biological measures.

**Key words**: Ocimum basilicum, Eucalyptus camaledulensis, Callosobruchus maculatus.

#### INTRODUCTION

Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L) is a very important and cheap source of dietary protein for many countries in the tropics. It is an important leguminous crop providing plant protein for human and animals (Okosun and Adedire 2010). It also serves as a basic raw material for the production of cookies, bread, ground beef patties and many other delicacies (Singh, 2001) However, Cowpea seeds used to make flour, sprouts and weaning food for young children, thus reducing stunted growth (Ntonifor et al., 2006). The main pests of this crop during the growing season are the aphids Aphis cracivora, Pod borer

(Maruca vitrata) and (Heliotis spp.) caterpillars that feed on tender foliage and young pods. In storage, cowpea grains suffer great qualitative and quantitative losses caused by various insect pest species, especially cowpea weevil (Callosobruchus maculates) which make the grains virtually unfit for consumption(Abdullahi and Muhammad 2004). The fact that cowpea grains are used as human food and feed for livestock renders the use of toxic synthetic insecticides unacceptable since this may lead to great hazards to health and environment (Aslam et al., 2002). Chemical control using fumigants and synthetic insecticides has dominated control strategy against insect pests. The synthetic insecticides are also associated with various ecological problems

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: Fathelrahman I. Elsiddig,elsiiddig@gmail.com, Plant protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Sinnar University, Sudan.

such as environmental hazards, lethal effect on non target organisms, pest resurgence, pest resistance and mammalian toxicity due to residue persistence (Lajide et al., 2003; Asawalam and Adesiyan 2001, Epidi et al., 2008). Furthermore, the current renewed interests in reducing environmental contamination necessitate the use effective alternative pest management technologies such as traditional botanical agents. (Kéita et al., 2001) found that Leaf, bark, seed powder and oil extracts of Ocimum basilicum reduce oviposition rate and suppress adult emergence of bruchids and also reduced seed damage rate when mixed with stored grains. However, (Asawalam and Adesiyan 2001) reported the insecticidal potentials of Ocimum basilicum against the maize weevil. Their study revealed that this powder has bioactive components which protected the grain against maize weevil infestation. C. longa and D. tripetala, and were safe for humans and cheaper than synthetic insecticides.(Tunc et al., 2000) tested Eucalyptus camaldulenses against eggs of two stored pest product insects, the confused Tribolium canfusum, and Mediterranean flour moth Ephestia kuehniella. The exposure from eucalyptus caused 45% and 65% mortalities. Therefore the objectives of this study were to evaluate powders derived from Rehan (Ocimum basilicum L.), and Cafure (Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehn) against activities of cowpea weevil (Callosobruchus maculatus Fabric.) on stored cowpea as well as testing the persistence of this various preparations.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Experimental site**

A laboratory experiment was carried out during the period June – September 2011, to study the effect and persistence of two concentrations of leaves and seeds of Rehan and two concentrations of leaves of Cafure on cowpea weevil (*Callosobruchus maculatus*).

# Preparation of materials:-Seeds of cowpea

The seeds of Cowpea were obtained from Abu Naama local market. The damaged seeds were

isolated from the undamaged seeds; the safe seeds were sterilized in an incubator for 10 minutes under 50°c. After 24 hours the sterilized seeds were packed in Kenaf bags 2kg/bag (35x50 cm).

#### Rehan and Cafure leaves Powder

The fresh leaves of the two mentioned plants were collected and separated on Kenaf sacks and dried under shade for 15 days. The dried leaves were blended using an electric blender for each plant separately. The fine powder was kept in paper bags.

### Rehan flowers powder

The ripe flowers of Rehan were collected from the neighboring fields and separated on Kenaf sacks. The clean seeds were left to dry for 15 days under shade. The well - dried Rehan seeds were powdered to a uniform mesh and the obtained powder was kept in paper bags.

## Layout and treatments

The experiment was laid out as a complete randomized design (CRD) with four replicates. Two concentrations (5%, 10%) of Rehan leaves, flowers powder, and Cafure leaves powder were used against cowpea weevil. The percentage was taken from the weight of the cowpea lot (2 kg).

The powders were formulated to give the following seven treatments.

Rehan leaves powder (RL 5%), Rehan leaves powder (RL 10%), Rehan flower powders (RF 5%), Rehan flowers powder (RF 10%), Cafure leaves powder (CL 5%), Cafure leaves powder (CL 10%), and untreated control. The treatments were added to the clean seeds of cowpea randomly and then stored in the store.

#### Data collected

Data on the number of eggs/seed, number of adults, and 100 seed weight were recorded. The readings were taken every two weeks up to the end of the experiment.

#### Statistical analysis

The obtained data were subjected to statistical analysis using Statistical Analysis System (SAS) program. Analysis of variance was performed using (ANOVA), and the mean separation was done using Duncan multiple range test (DMRT). Results of the analysis of variance were presented as means.

ABBREVIATIONS: RL= Rehan leaves, RF= Rehan Flowers, CL= Cafure Leaves CRD= Complete Randomized Design, SAS= Statistical Analysis System. (ANOVA)= Analysis of variance,DMRT= Duncan multiple Range Test.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Number of eggs

Results in Table (1) showed that all treatments used from Rehan and Cafure significantly reduced the number of C. maculates eggs as compared with the untreated control ( $p \le 0.05$ ). Their effect started from the  $2^{nd}$  week and continued up to the  $12^{th}$  week. However, the highest concentrations exhibited better performance. The same result was also obtained by (Srivastava et al., 1988) who concluded that Eucalyptus camaldulensis powder

could be used for the control of Callosobruchus chieinsis on the seeds of red grain Cajanus cajana at a concentration of 0.1%. However, the Eucalyptus leaf powder at 10-20g per 100g seed was effective in the control of C. maculatus on stored cowpea (Lajide et al., 2003). This finding is in line with that of (Pathak and Krishna 1986), they mentioned that the odor of Eucalyptus camadulensis, E. rostrate, Azadirachta indica and Ocimum basilicum in the laboratory resulted in the decline of egg hatching in Earias vittella F. The previous result is also in agreement with that reported by (Shukla et al., 2007), who stated that O. basilicum leaves powder is effective against C.maculatus. They also mentioned that other workers have previously reported that powders of Ocimum spp. reduce lifespan and oviposition of bruchids. However, C. maculates was used by most of these workers in their experiments (Table 1).

**Table(1).** Effect of Rehan and Cafure powdered treatments on average number of Callosobruchus maculatus eggs on cowpea seeds.

Treatments	Duration in weeks								
Treatments	2	4	6	8	10	12	14		
Control	239.75 <sup>a</sup>	481.00 <sup>a</sup>	624.75 <sup>a</sup>	482.75 <sup>a</sup>	687.25 <sup>a</sup>	527.25 <sup>a</sup>	519.25 <sup>a</sup>		
RL5%	119.75 <sup>b</sup>	271.25 <sup>b</sup>	309.25°	$369.50^{b}$	377.25 <sup>b</sup>	415.75 <sup>b</sup>	404.75 <sup>b</sup>		
RL10%	11.50 <sup>d</sup>	103.00°	251.25 <sup>d</sup>	253.75 <sup>d</sup>	$258.00^{d}$	$267.90^{c}$	314.00 <sup>cd</sup>		
RF5%	76.75 <sup>bc</sup>	221.75 <sup>bc</sup>	$333.50^{\circ}$	373.25 <sup>b</sup>	482.75 <sup>b</sup>	$397.00^{b}$	357.25 <sup>cd</sup>		
RF10%	32.25°	117.00°	371.50°	268.50 <sup>cd</sup>	$281.00^{d}$	$283.00^{c}$	322.50 <sup>cd</sup>		
CL5%	146.50 <sup>b</sup>	265.25 <sup>b</sup>	498.75 <sup>b</sup>	315.50 <sup>bc</sup>	$410.25^{b}$	449.75 <sup>b</sup>	504.25 <sup>a</sup>		
CL10%	85.00 <sup>bc</sup>	187.25 <sup>bc</sup>	$297.50^{d}$	293.50 <sup>cd</sup>	$290.50^{d}$	$301.00^{c}$	342.25 <sup>cd</sup>		

Means followed by the same letter(s) in a column are not significantly different according to DMRT.

#### Number of adults

Results in Table (2) showed that all Rehan and Cafure treatments in the  $1^{st}$  2weeks had no significant difference on the number of C. maculates adults compared to the untreated control (p $\leq$  0.05). However, in the  $4^{th}$  week, all treatments significantly reduced the number of insect adults. On the other hand, the results showed that all the higher concentrations of Rehan and Cafure treatments depicted lower adults' number, which continued up to the last

two weeks with no significant differences between them. This result agreed with the

previous result of eggs number, and with that founded by (Shaaya et al., 1991) who mentioned that using Ocimum basilicum essential oil in a fumigation chamber caused 100% mortality in adults of Oryzaephillus surinamensis, 80% mortality in Rhysopertha domiica, and 45% mortality in Sitophilus oryzae. Moreover (Oparaeke et al. 2002) reported that Ocimum gratissimum L. at 2.5g, 5.0g and 10.0g/100g affected cowpea grains with 46.7%, 46.7% and

65.0% adult bruchid mortality respectively within 24 hours. This result is also consistent with that of (Adlan, 2002) who mentioned that *E. camaldulensis* leaves, flowers, seeds, stems and barks (powder, water and organic extract) at concentrations of 2.5, 5 and 10% have shown promising repelling activities against the faba bean beetle *Bruchidius incarnates*, the red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum*, and the khabra beetle

Trogoderma granarium. (Echezona 2006) reported that the proportional combination of 50:50% (1:1g) of *P. guineense* and *C. aequalis* or *E camaldulensis* were also very effective in causing unusually high adult weevil mortality. This is the first of such combination "therapy" for storage protection with plan-derived powders. This result may have been due to additive and/or synergistic toxicity on the bruchids

**Table (2)**. Effect of Rehan and Cafure powdered treatments on average number of Callosobruchus maculatus adults on cowpea seeds.

Treatments	Duration in weeks							
	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	
Control	2.00 <sup>a</sup>	11.00 <sup>a</sup>	21.25 <sup>a</sup>	22.00 <sup>a</sup>	22.75 <sup>a</sup>	6.25 <sup>a</sup>	5.75 <sup>a</sup>	
RL5%	$1.50^{a}$	2.75 <sup>bc</sup>	5.25 <sup>b</sup>	5.75 <sup>b</sup>	6.75 <sup>b</sup>	4.50 <sup>bc</sup>	4.75 <sup>b</sup>	
RL10%	1.25 <sup>a</sup>	1.75 <sup>c</sup>	4.25 <sup>b</sup>	4.75°	$4.25^{d}$	$3.25^{d}$	$3.50^{\rm c}$	
R F5%	$1.50^{a}$	3.25 <sup>b</sup>	4.75 <sup>b</sup>	5.25 <sup>b</sup>	$6.00^{bc}$	4.75 <sup>bc</sup>	$5.00^{b}$	
RF10%	$1.50^{a}$	$2.00^{\rm c}$	$4.50^{b}$	$3.50^{\rm c}$	5.75c <sup>d</sup>	$3.50^{d}$	3.25°	
CL5%	1.25 <sup>a</sup>	3.75 <sup>b</sup>	5.25 <sup>b</sup>	5.25 <sup>b</sup>	6.50b <sup>c</sup>	5.00 <sup>bc</sup>	5.75 <sup>ab</sup>	
CL10%	1.25 <sup>a</sup>	1.75°	4.25 <sup>b</sup>	$3.00^{\rm c}$	5.25 <sup>d</sup>	$3.50^{d}$	3.25 <sup>c</sup>	

Means followed by the same letter(s) in a column are not significantly different according to DMRT

#### 100 seed weight

Results in Table (3) showed that all Rehan and Cafure powder treatments in the 1<sup>st</sup> 2 weeks had significant differences on 100 seed weight in comparison to the untreated control except for CL 5%. However, the untreated control displayed the lowest weight up to the last week (Table 3). The results also showed that from the 4<sup>th</sup> week up to the 10<sup>th</sup> week all treatments of 10% concentration were significantly different from untreated control, with no significant differences between them. This result agreed with the results of eggs number and adults' number and with that stated by (Gubara 1983) who concluded that Occimum basilicum tested against the khapra beetle Trogoderma granarium in the laboratory gave the least damaged sorghum seeds and the damage was 13.7% compared to 87.3% in the control after 6 months of storage. (Parwada et al., 2012) reported that ground plant extracts act by dehydrating and suffocating the stores weevil and also by reducing weevil movements thereby

resulting in reduced grain damage and weight loss. The leaf powders of Eucalyptus. Tereticornis C. papaya could also have reduced grain weight loss due to the fact that they reduce the relative humidity on the surface of the grain thereby inhibiting egg laying and larval development of the weevils.

A slight reduction in 100 seed weight was observed after 6 weeks and continued up to 14 weeks, this finding could be attributed to the increment in the pest population and the decrease in the seed contents, where the pest completed its life cycle and consumed much of the seed contents (Table 3).

**Table (3)**. Effect of Rehan and Cafure powdered treatments on 100 seed weight (gm.) of cowpea infested by *Callosobruchus maculatus*.

Treatments	Duration in weeks							
	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	
Control	$24.30^{d}$	23.49°	22.11 <sup>bc</sup>	19.08 <sup>d</sup>	17.96 <sup>b</sup>	16.91°	16.47 <sup>d</sup>	
RL5%	28.47 <sup>a</sup>	$26.50^{ab}$	$24.92^{ab}$	21.65 <sup>bcd</sup>	19.35 <sup>bc</sup>	18.54 <sup>b</sup>	18.47 <sup>c</sup>	
RL10%	29.10 <sup>a</sup>	27.74 <sup>a</sup>	25.56 <sup>a</sup>	24.12 <sup>a</sup>	20.01 <sup>a</sup>	19.71 <sup>ab</sup>	19.50 <sup>b</sup>	
RF5%	26.78 <sup>bc</sup>	25.51 <sup>abc</sup>	24.11 <sup>abc</sup>	19.87 <sup>cd</sup>	19.69 <sup>bc</sup>	18.65c <sup>b</sup>	18.30°	
RF10%	27.97 <sup>abc</sup>	26.79 <sup>ab</sup>	25.49 <sup>a</sup>	22.63 <sup>ab</sup>	20.75 <sup>a</sup>	$19.78^{ab}$	19.52 <sup>b</sup>	
CL5%	26.07 <sup>cd</sup>	24.82 <sup>bc</sup>	21.58°	20.53 <sup>bcd</sup>	19.02 <sup>bc</sup>	18.57 <sup>b</sup>	18.35°	
CL10%	28.12 <sup>ab</sup>	27.49 <sup>a</sup>	25.00 <sup>a</sup>	$22.74^{ab}$	20.91 <sup>a</sup>	19.76 <sup>ab</sup>	19.07 <sup>b</sup>	

Means followed by the same letter(s) in a column are not significantly different according to DMRT.

#### **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, this study clearly demonstrates the followings:1- It was found that Rehan and Cafure powders of the two concentrations 5%, 10% significantly reduced the number of eggs and number of adults up to 10 weeks. However, the higher concentrations of the tested powders were the only significant from the untreated control regarding 100 seed weight.

2- Tests of the products used will still encourage the inclusion of these products in IPM programs with other natural and biological measures.

#### REFERENCES

Abdullahi, Y., and Muhammad S. (2004). Assessment of the toxic potentials of some plants powders on survival and development of *Callosobuchus maculatus*. African Journal of Biotechnology 3(1):60-62.

Adlan, A. A. M. (2002). Studies on insecticidal properties of *Eucalyptus Camaldulensis* Dehn. (Cafure) against some insect pests. M.Sc. thesis, Thesis, University of Khartoum-Sudan.

Asawalam, E., and Adesiyan S. (2001). Potential of *Ocimum basilicum* (Linn)

for the control of maize weevil *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motsch). Nigeria Agricultural Journal 32(1):195-201.

Aslam, M., Khan K. A., and Bajwa M. (2002). Potency of some spices against *Callosobruchus chinensis* Linnaeus. OnLine Journal of Biological Sciences 2 (7):449-452.

Echezona, B. (2006). Selection of pepper cultivars (*Capsicum* spp.) for the control of bruchids *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) on stored cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) seeds. African Journal of Biotechnology 5(8):624-628.

Epidi, T. T., Nwani C., and Udoh S. (2008). Efficacy of some plant species for the control of cowpea weevil (*Callosobruchus maculatus*) and maize weevil (*Sitophilus zeamais*). International Journal of Agriculture and Biology 10 (5):588-590

Gubara, A. (1983). A comparative study on the insecticidal potentialities of Neem (Azadirachta indica A. Juss) and Rehan (Ocimum spp.). M. Sc. Thesis, University of Khartoum-Sudan.

- Kéita, S. M., Vincent C., Schmit J.-P., Arnason J. T., and Bélanger A. (2001). Efficacy of essential oil of Ocimum basilicum L. and O. gratissimum L. applied as an insecticidal fumigant and powder to control Callosobruchus maculatus(Fab.)[Coleoptera:Bruchidae]. Journal of Stored Products Research 37(4):339-349.
- Lajide L, Adedire C.O, Muse W.A, Agele S.O (2003). Insecticidal activities of some Nigeria plant extract against cowpea weevil (*Callosobruchus maculatus*). *Entomological Society of Nigeria*. 31(2): 235-247.
- Ntonifor, N., Edimengo P., and Tamo M. (2006). Bioecology of the cowpea pod weevil, Piezotrachelus varius Wagner (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), and cowpea seed damage. African Entomology 14(1):185-191.
- Okosun, O., and Adedire C. (2010). Potency to cowpea seed bruchid, Callosobruchus maculatus (Fabricius)(Coleoptera: Bruchidae), of African nutmeg seed (Monodora myristica (Gaertn.) Dunal) extracted with different solvents. Nigerian Journal of Entomology 27(89-95).
- Oparaeke, A.M, Dike M.C, Onu I. (2002).

  Control of Callosobruchus maculatus (Fab) on stored cowpea with African Curry (Ocimum gratissimum L.) and African Bush Tea (Hyptis suaveolens Poit ) leaf powders.Nigerian Journal of Entomology19:99-108.
- Pathak, P., and Krishna S. (1986). Reproductive efficiency in Earias fabia Stoll (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) affected by neem oil vapour. Applied Entomology and zoology 21(2):347-348.

- Parwada C, Gadzirayi CT, Karavina C, Kubiku F, Mandumbu R, and BZ Madumbu. 2012. Tagetes minuta Formulation Effect Sitophilus zeamais (Weevils) Control in Stored Maize Grain. International Journal of Plant Research 2(3): 65-68
- Shaaya, E., Ravid U., Paster N., Juven B., Zisman U., and Pissarev V. (1991). Fumigant toxicity of essential oils against four major stored-product insects. Journal of Chemical Ecology 17(3):499-504.
- Shukla, R., Srivastava B., Kumar R., and Dubey N. (2007). Potential of some botanical powders in reducing infestation of chickpea by Callosobruchus chinensis L.(Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Journal of Agricultural Technology 3(1):11-19.
- Singh, S.R (2001). Cowpea Research production and utilization information. Serial 14.Internation Institution of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan Nigeria. 20pp.
- Srivastava, S., Gupta K., and Agrawal A. (1988). Effect of plant product on Callosobruchus chinensis infestation on red gram. Seed Research 16(1):98-101.
- Tunc, I., Berger B., Erler F., and Dağlı F. (2000). Ovicidal activity of essential oils from five plants against two stored-product insects. Journal of Stored Products Research 36(2):161-168.

# تأثير تجهيزات جافة من DehnOcimum basilicum L. و DehnOcimum basilicum L على خنفساء اللوبيا المخزنة

فتح الرحمن ابراهيم الصديق ومحمد حامد حمد النيل قسم وقاية النباتات - كلية الزراعة - جامعة سنار - السودان

تاريخ الاستلام: 07 مارس2015 / تاريخ القبول :30 اكتوبر 2017 مارس617 مارس615 / https://doi.org/10.54172/mjsc.v32i2.182

المستخلص: أجريت تجربة معملية في الفترة من يونيو إلى سبتمبر 2011 بكلية الزراعة ( أبونعامة) – جامعة سنار ، السودان، لدراسة تأثير تجهيزات من مسحوق أوراق وأزهار نبات الريحان ( Dehn. Eucalyptus camaledulensis) وأوراق نبات الكافور ( Dehn. Eucalyptus camaledulensis ). استخدم ( Dehn. Eucalyptus camaledulensis ) على خنفساء اللوبيا البيضاء ( CRD) المحتوى على 4 مكررات لتنفيذ التجربة، تم تجهيز تركيزات مختلفة من أجزاء النباتات تحت الدراسة اعتماداً على وزن 2كجم من بذور اللوبيا البيضاء لنحصل على التركيزات الآتية: مسحوق أوراق الريحان 5% و 10%، مسحوق أزهار الريحان 5% و 10%، ومسحوق أوراق الكافور 5% و 10%، بالإضافة للشاهد غير المعامل. تم تسجيل أعداد البيض، وأعداد الطور الكامل لخنفساء اللوبيا البيضاء، بالإضافة لوزن المائة حبة من بذور اللوبيا البيضاء وذلك كل أسبوعين حتى نهاية التجربة. دلت النتائج إلى أن التركيزات المستخدمة من أجزاء النباتين ( 5%و 10%) قد أدت إلى خفض أعداد البيض والطور الكامل لخنفساء اللوبيا البيضاء خفضاً معنوياً حتى الأسبوع الثاني عشر بالمقارنة بالشاهد. بينما أوضحت النتائج أنه وبداية الأسبوع الرابع وحتى العاشر من بداية التجربة فإن التركيز 10% من جميع المعاملات اظهر فروقاً معنوية من الشاهد وذلك بحساب وزن المائة حبة من بذور اللوبيا البيضاء. خلصت النتائج إلى أن أداء تجهيزات النباتات تحت الدراسة يشجع استخدامها بتوافق مع الطرق الطبيعية والأحيائية الأخرى المستخدمة في برنامج الإنمج المتكاملة لتلك الآفة.

الكلمات المفتاحية:Callosobruchus maculatus Eucalyptus camaledulensis Ocimum basilicum!

<sup>\*</sup> فتح الرحمن إبراهيم الصديق. <u>elsiiddig@gmail.com</u>: كلية الزراعة، جامعة سنار، السودان